GSM, EMC and Health Issues

Can a mobile phone call kill you?

What are the issues

- Field strength from GSM installation must not influence neighbouring systems
- Field strength from GSM installation must not present a health and safety risk to users



The Source - BTS

- Base Transceiver Station (BTS)
 - □ Generates the air interface to the mobile.
 - It is composed of an antenna and a transceiver
 - It is the first entity within the GSM network that detects the mobile signal

GSM - R Antenna

- Two phase dipole array on top of each antenna masts
- The array consist of 8 pairs of cross polarised dipoles housed in a metal reflector (2.3m long)
- Each array is capable of Tx and Rx through two separate channels

GSM-R Antenna

- GSM antennas tends to be sector antennas with a typical gain of:
 - □ 15 17dBi for 900MHz
 - □ 16 18dBi for 1800MHz
- Omnidirectional antenna are also used (though less common)
 8 – 10dB



GSM - R Antenna

- The signal level is split between the two antenna by power splitters
- The antennas are highly directional



Exclusion Distance - LOS

In theory, either due to fault or installation error, the entire power could be fed to one antenna, effectively doubling the power to that antenna

| Power, one channel | 53 dBm | 200W |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Power, 2 channels | 56 dBm | 400W |
| Cross polar loss | 58 dBm | 631 W |
| Power splitters by passed | 61 dBm | 1262 W |
| Shared mast, two antenna | 64 dBm | 2524 W |

Far Field – Electric Field Strength



Protection Limits for biological matters

- Limits based on heating effects on humans
- This is expressed as a derivative of the ability of the exposure to excite water molecules in tissues
- It is weighted against the ability of the thermoregulatory systems of the body to remove this heat

Localised ICNIRP Exposure Guidelines for the general public

| Whole body SAR | 0.08 W/kg |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Head and trunk SAR | 2 W/kg |
| Limbs SAR | 4 W/kg |

Safe distances

| MHz | ICNIRP Level | dBm | m | dBm | m |
|-----|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|
| 876 | 40.7 | 61 | 4.78 | 64 | 6.76 |
| 880 | 40.8 | 61 | 4.77 | 64 | 6.74 |
| 915 | 41.6 | 61 | 4.68 | 64 | 6.62 |
| 921 | 41.7 | 61 | 4.67 | 64 | 6.6 |
| 925 | 41.8 | 61 | 4.65 | 64 | 6.58 |





Safe distances at 900MHz

| Power | 41.25V/m | 20V/m | 10V/m | 3V/m |
|--------|----------|---------|--------|--------|
| 53 dBm | 1.88m | 3.87 m | 7.75m | 25.82m |
| 56 dBm | 2.66m | 5.48 m | 10.95m | 36.5 |
| 58 dBm | 3.3m | 6.88 m | 13.76m | 45.86 |
| 61 dBm | 4.72m | 9.73m | 19.46m | 64.86 |
| 64 dBm | 6.67 m | 13.75 m | 27.5m | 91.7m |

So what should we recommend?

- Does NCC/ mobile operators allow shared mast?
 - Then an exclusion of 8m is recommended (7m plus a 1m buffer zone)
 - If not, a 6m exclusion zone is recommended (5m plus 1m buffer zone)

Remember this is the safe distance from a line of sight!!!!

What else can we do?

- Antenna are usually mounted on masts
- Height are usually 15m above but can have them lower depending on application
- So we need to re-evaluate the risk



Ground

Typical GSM Antenna Data

| Horizontal Beam -3dB | $65^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Side lobes in Horizontal | 20 dB |
| Elevation beam with -3dB | $9.4^{\circ} \pm 0.6^{\circ}$ |
| Electrical Tilt | $2^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ |
| Side lobes in elevation | 20 dB less than main beam |
| L by W by H | 2310mm by 290mm by 210mm |
| Mechanical Tilt | 0° to 12°, 1° intervals |

Field seen at head height under normal condition

- Assume height human is 2m
- Assume antenna mast height of 15m

Field at Head Height



Ground

Field at Head height

| 53 dBm | 0.78 V/m |
|--------|----------|
| 56 dBm | 0.9 V/m |
| 58 dBm | 1.38 V/m |
| 61 dBm | 1.95 V/m |
| 64 dBm | 2.75 V/m |

Tilt Angle Effect

Antenna has mechanical tilt which

Helps optimise the beam and thus coverage away from the line of sight

Mechanical tilt may in fact affect the antenna pattern slight

Handheld Mobile

| Туре | Base Station Tx | Handset Tx | Peak Handset Power | Operators (UK) |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| GSM900 | 935 -960 MHz | 890 -915 MHz | 2W | O2 and Vodaphone |
| GSM1800 | 1805 – 1880 MHz | 1710 – 1785 MHz | 1W | Orange and T- Mobile |

Old mobiles may have a peak handset power of 20W The power is reduced to 100th of the nominal value if very close to a base station

Handheld Mobile

- The wavelength at 900 MHz is approximately 30cm which is within user distance to the head
- The far field zone is approximately 5cm (could be less than this)
- The electric field strength at 5cm is approximately 155 V/m...at 2.2 cm is 400V/m!!!!!

Propagation through Human Head

- The question is the efficiency of the human head as shield
- Ideally we want it to be a good reflector but that has implications
- We would assume the human head is fat

Given in terms of complex permittivity
Can obtain this from Maxwell's Equations

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H}_{s} = \underbrace{\vec{J}_{s}}_{\text{conduction current}} + \underbrace{j\omega\vec{D}_{s}}_{\text{displacement current}} = \sigma \vec{E}_{s} + j\omega\varepsilon\vec{E}_{s}$$

For a good insulator, the conduction current should be small compared to the displacement current

$$\sigma E \square \omega \varepsilon E \text{ or } \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \square 1$$

For a good conductor, the conduction current should be large compared to the displacement current

$$\sigma E \square \omega \varepsilon E \text{ or } \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \square 1$$

The human flesh is a good conductor at low frequency (e.g. 50 Hz) but a poor conductor at high frequency

$$\nabla \times H = j\omega \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\sigma}{j\omega}\right) E = j\omega\varepsilon_0 \left(\varepsilon_r - \frac{j\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon_0}\right) E$$

$$\varepsilon^{*} = \varepsilon_{0} \left(\varepsilon_{r} - \frac{j\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon_{0}} \right) = \varepsilon_{0} \left(\varepsilon' - j\varepsilon'' \right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon' = \varepsilon_{r} = \underbrace{k}_{j}, \quad \varepsilon'' = \frac{\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon_{0}}$$
dielectric constant

Assuming the following:

$$\varepsilon' = \varepsilon_r = 5.5$$

 $\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon'' \approx 0.036 - 0.078$

$\frac{\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon} \approx 0.13 - 0.28$

Not a good insulator or a good conductor

How thick should the human fat be?

Skin depth (or penetration depth) is given by

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\omega \sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon}\right)^2} - 1\right)}}$$

= 0.06 - 0.13m

Attenuation inside body

Impedance is given by



Reflection coefficient is given by



Transmission coefficient is given by



- Roughly, reflection loss is about 40%, while transmission is about 60%
- The reflection coefficient implies that majority of the 155V/m will propagate through the head
- This will be absorbed in the body resulting in localised heating...but